

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 Akika Parker,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 JP Morgan Chase,

15 Defendant.
16

No. 2:20-cv-00455-KJM-CKD

ORDER

17 The court construes the filing at ECF No. 9 as a motion to reconsider the order adopting
18 the Magistrate Judge’s findings and recommendations under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure
19 59(e), *see Am. Ironworks & Erectors, Inc. v. N. Am. Const. Corp.*, 248 F.3d 892, 898–99 (9th Cir.
20 2001), and an ex parte application for a temporary restraining order. The court denies the
21 requested relief. *See 389 Orange St. Partners v. Arnold*, 179 F.3d 656, 665 (9th Cir. 1999)
22 “Under Rule 59(e), a motion for reconsideration should not be granted, absent highly unusual
23 circumstances, unless the district court is presented with newly discovered evidence, committed
24 clear error, or if there is an intervening change in the controlling law.” (citation omitted)); *Winter*
25 *v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008) (identifying the showing required of a
26 plaintiff who seeks preliminary injunctive relief or a temporary restraining order). Similar
27 requests filed in the future will be disregarded.

28 /////

1 IT IS SO ORDERED.

2 DATED: April 26, 2021.

3


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE